

Written Comments*
MAG - ADOT
CANAMEX Corridor Study

Open Houses and
Public Meetings

- In addition to the summary written comments received at the CANAMEX public meetings, letters and email received throughout the course of the project will be made available for review by MAG.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
August 31, 2000 - Sun City West	1
September 5, 2000 - Buckeye	44
September 7, 2000 - Wickenburg	46

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

- Comment 1: No CANAMEX on 303! Enough pollution - air and noise already.
- Comment 2: Please do not use 303!
- Comment 3: Remove 303 from proposed CANAMEX route.
– JR Gosliey
- Comment 4: Remove SR 303 from proposed CANAMEX route consideration.
– A.M. Gosling
- Comment 5: Do not include 303 in CANAMEX highway.
- Comment 6: Do not use 303 for CANAMEX. Thank you.
- Comment 7: 303 should be released from the study of CANAMEX - NOW!
– Edith Dodd
- Comment 8: Keep major truck traffic on the major freeways to the maximum extent (I-8, SR 85) hit I-10 as far west as possible and make S-N connection as far west as possible, stay off 303 on US 60. They are and will be over crowded-over stop lighted and dangerous. Please seriously consider Exhibit 10-Alt 2.
- Comment 9: I am of the opinion that MAG and ADOT should not consider SR 303 as part of the CANAMEX project. Thank you.
– Charles U. Hawksworth
Surprise
- Comment 10: Not a good location to hold an event such as the question on 303. Couldn't see from when we sat hear should have been held in Sun City West Social Hall. Don't feel you served the public needs in this instance. Please arrange for other facilities for future meetings. The presentation dragged. No to 303 for CANAMEX route.
- Comment 11: The plan to run 303 thru Sun City Grand and other residential developments is not the way to go. With all of the desert areas undeveloped farmland is the way to go. By putting 303 in the middle of a residential your ruining the reason why people are

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

moving into Arizona. There is no reason for this. All the double talk and fancy words mean absolutely nothing.

- Comment 12: The truck highway serving the CANAMEX corridor should be build through rural areas which will benefit and grow through business opportunities and services. Not through already established residential resort/retirement communities such as Sun City Grand bisected by 303.
- Comment 13: CANAMEX should be built where trucking causes the least disruption. Definitely not in the midst of established housing developments such as Sun City Grand bisected by 303.
- Comment 14: We do not want CANAMEX in the vicinity of the Sun Cities. It will create a potential for added health problems, due to excessive diesel fuel exhaust coming across our cities. Also, the added noise pollution would not be acceptable.
- Also, why, do we citizens of the U.S., have to provide a highway to transport goods between Canada and Mexico? We have rail lines, that could transport those goods.
- Comment 15: Route 1 or 2 would be favorable.
- Comment 16: Do not put CANAMEX on 303. Not in my backyard. Use the Vulture Mine Road only. Do not use Highway 303.
Reasons:
1. Not enough clean air now!
2. Keep the brown cloud away from Sun City Grand.
3. Way too much traffic now.
4. Why spoil an existing nice neighborhood?
5. Too much noise too close to too many people.
- Comment 17: My husband and I live on the eastside of 303 between Bell and Mountain View. We have our home and neighborhood, but we have great fear as to how it would change if the CANAMEX route included 303. Our air quality, noise, safety, privacy - all would be impacted if this goes through. Please, please listen to us.
- Comment 18: Do not put CANAMEX on 303 through our neighborhood.
- Comment 19: Residential areas should not be subjected to "extra" routes bearing trucks and other carriers spewing more smog than we already have to bear. This entire area is, or will

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

be shortly, over populated, and those people should be the first concern, absolutely!

– Ralph & Virginia Arano
Sun City West

Comment 20: I hope this meeting will resort in some resolution of the problem of the 303 loop. Thus far other meetings have resulted in divided information from the regulations-or outright lies if you will be honest. Prior to purchasing a home in Sun City Grand I talked to the State of Arizona Department of Transportation and was assured 303 as improved would be a parkway and Sun City Grand residences would be protected against highway noise by roadway improvements underground and toward any other noise abatement measures-now we know this was not true.

– H.A. McEvoy

Comment 21: I purchased a home in a retirement community. I don't want truck traffic running thru it. There will also be an increase in crime, because of the easy access to our homes in Sun City Grand.

Comment 22: No 303 Mexican Canadian highway through Sun City Grand! It would: 1. Increase noise 2. Increase pollution 3. Mar the community

– D. Swanson

Comment 23: What is wrong with you people 303 is not an appropriate route, never had been never will be. We don't need another truck route in the valley we can't see the mountains now. It looks like we will have to get all you people fixed.

Comment 24: If you took a realistic look at the proposed area, do you think you possibly made a mistake.

– Joe Gatto

Comment 25: Remove 303 from your proposed CANAMEX route. We older Americans can be devastatingly vocal! We put all our energies and voices that can't and won't be

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

quieted. It isn't even the best choice in length, time, and price. Use your brains and LISTEN!

– Marcia Seidner

Comment 26: Please don't disregard what the public wants concerning the CANAMEX route. Throughout the 303 route there is nothing but trouble ahead.

– R. Zech
Sun City Grand

Comment 27: No CANAMEX on SR 303. CANAMEX thruway does not belong in an area that goes through a residential development.

– Pat Muller

Comment 28: No CANAMEX on SR 303.

– Jim Muller

Comment 29: No to CANAMEX on 303.

Comment 30: I think the CANAMEX highway should be built in an area that is not built up. Build out were there are no houses.

Comment 31: 303 is a road for local traffic.

Comment 32: I want to voice my opposition to using 303 as the CANAMEX corridor. The community is over burdened as it is. The community is growing as the expense of big business I didn't move here to deal with more traffic problems!

Comment 33: I'm opposed to using route 303 as a CANAMEX corridor. It is too close to residential housing and will only add to air pollution and traffic problems.

Comment 34: Community impact and environmental impact indicates that the chosen route is one which does not include SR 303. Finalize the long draft recommendation, eliminating 303 from further consideration.

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

– Bruce Hemer

Comment 35: When we purchased our house in Sun City Grand no mention of CANAMEX was made. Our houses are close together and those of us who live on the golf course are very close to 303. We are retired, spent quite a bit of our resources to purchase what we had hoped to be our last home. With the current pollution and many houses still to be built and to have this heavy traffic split our community is reprehensible to us. It is really very dismaying to us to think that you could still be considering 303 as a CANAMEX route. Please do not consider 303!

– Frances Stave
Sun City Grand

Comment 36: Route 303 and more heavy trucks and retirement communities equals disaster. Thanks for your consideration.

– C.W. Herr

Comment 37: I do not want SR 303 now or ever. NO.

– Kenneth Owens
Sun City West

Comment 38: I would like MAG and ADOT permanently remove 303 from the list of proposed CANAMEX routes.

– Mrs. Helen Owens
Sun City West

Comment 39: Permanently eliminate Loop 303 from further consideration please.

Comment 40: Eliminate Loop 303 from further consideration.

Comment 41: No CANAMEX on 303! Sun Valley Parkway or anything west of there. Alternatives #1 or #2 or west of White Tank Mountains.

– Annette B. Gibbs
Carlton J. Gibbs

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

- Comment 42: Much against CANAMEX on 303. The original thought of the route around Wickenburg was ok.
- A. Statmer
Sun City Grand
- Comment 43: We were told that the 303 would be a parkway and not a CANAMEX routes why was this decision reversed? Why are we going through all of these studies again?
- The truck traffic along a residential area is a complete disaster creating noise and severe accidents along this route. Remove 303 from the CANAMEX corridor.
- Comment 44: Permanently remove 303 from CANAMEX route. Route on westside of White Tank Mountains.
- J. Burgess
- Comment 45: 303 was removed as a proposed CANAMEX route. In as much as MAG and ADOT have now vacillated, all personnel affiliated with those organizations should be investigated. Their actions appear devious and influenced by people whose interests oppose those of our communities - Sun City Grand, Sun City West, Youngtown, etc.
- Comment 46: The CANAMEX belongs in an area that has the fewest disruptions. It does not belong in the center of residential areas.
- Comment 47: I have two problems with the current situation.
1. Making a decision after months of study and then rescinding that decision three weeks later is illogical.
 2. Which Sun Valley Parkway will take some new work on the north end, at least you are working with a roadway with no traffic or cross traffic. 303 is already a dangerous highway with many at grade crossings that will require fixes.
- Bob Mosher
Surprise

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

- Comment 48: No we do not want 303 to be part of CANAMEX. We do not want more pollution. No additional truck pollution.
- Comment 49: To close to too many housing developments. Route 303 is already dedicated as a park way.
- Carol A. DeMetro
Surprise
- Comment 50: We do not want 303 as a CANAMEX corridor.
- Comment 51: We do not want 303 as a CANAMEX corridor.
- Comment 52: After reviewing the alternatives it would seem the least impact on the populated areas and probably the fastest route for trucks would be 1 or 2. The comment that more accidents (trucks) occurred on 5 and 8. If these are the most traveled routes now wouldn't there be more accidents then a route that has less truck traffic?
- As a resident of Sun City Grand we have seen the effect of the trucks on 303. The use of "JAKE" breaks, pollution and general truck noise is increasing almost daily. This area will continue to grow as a residential area and 303 as a truck route will compromise the area severely.
- Cecil Blankenship
- Comment 53: This community of Surprise and Sun City communities is home to many elderly people. Health and safety is a major concern for us. The noise and pollution is of concern. The driving safety of our citizens is a major concern. We did not move here to have a major highway put in. We want less truck traffic. There will be more and more fatal accidents here if the highway comes through dangerous hazardous materials shown not come through a major population area.
- Linda Blankenship

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

Sun City Grand

- Comment 54: Please don't put the CANAMEX on 303! Please don't put all that traffic and pollution and noise in my backyard! Please! No CANAMEX on 303!
- Dianne Darreto
- Comment 55: I agree with everyone the CANAMEX belongs further west not on 303.
- Comment 56: I would like MAG and ADOT permanently remove 303 from the list of proposed CANAMEX routes.
- M.A. Hom
Surprise
- Comment 57: We are who heartedly opposed to the new 303 plans. It would impact our community in an unacceptable way. Please remove 303 form the list of proposed CANAMEX routes permanently! Thank you.
- Joan Trowbridge
- Comment 58: I do not want CANAMEX on the 303 loop.
- Virginia Heilman
- Comment 59: Continue to follow your good judgement to stay away from the 303 options - too much traffic, CRT, accidents and opposition—along a lot of elderly to be avoided. Thank you!
- Comment 60: No to construction through the valley! Remove from consideration.
- L. E. Trowbridge
- Comment 61: Please release 303 from CANAMEX possibility for health and safety reasons for Sun City Grand residents and others.
- Nancy Herr

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

- Comment 62: Please drop Loop 303 in Maricopa County from further consideration. This amount of truck traffic has no business being built through a high density elderly residential neighborhood or near the vicinity of Luke Air Force Base.
- William R. Budworth
Surprise
- Comment 63: Please remove 303 from the list of proposed CANAMEX routes.
- Jean Montgomery
Sun City West
- Comment 64: Please permanently remove 303 from the list of proposed CANAMEX routes. Thank you!
- Robert M. Montgomery
Sun City West
- Comment 65: For the health and safety of the residents in City of Surprise and Sun Cities I would like to see the removal of the SR 303 from CANAMEX consideration.
- Mary Ann Hawksworth
Surprise
- Comment 66: To close to houses.
- James Demitre
- Comment 67: Under no circumstances should CANAMEX follow SR 303. Alternative #9 or 10 would be a safer route for all concerned.
- Mona Gay Fehr
Surprise
- Comment 68: This does not belong on the 303 corridor. Please send info on all developments. We do not need more traffic or pollution (noise and emissions). West of White Tank Mountains.

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

– Mary Newman
Surprise

Comment 69: Yes, please send me information on today's meeting and comments. Please no CANAMEX on 303 please. I live in Sun City Grand. Thank you.

– David J. Wielgat
Surprise

Comment 70: The aging population in this area does not need additional pollutants to endanger their already fragile health. Too often a large segment of an area near major arterials has to be evacuated due to toxic chemical spills. Many in this area moved here to get away from heavily-traveled arterials.

Comment 71: My wife and I are totally opposed to the CANAMEX going through Route 303 and any way near Sun City Grand. We live in a residential area not on a freeway. We would not have purchased a home in SSG if we had any idea of a plan to route CANAMEX near us.

Comment 72: Eliminate SR 303 from further consideration.

– Chuck Fehr
Surprise

Comment 73: If the goal is to improve global competitiveness the ports of Houston, Los Angeles are easily reached from I-10 - I-17. The northern portion of Arizona is not and more than likely will not develop into major trade or population centers.

Surprise is not an area that will be developing into a commercial center but will be a residential area where quality of life is an important factor. We do not need tractor trailers.

Comment 74: As a 25-year member of a plan commission I know the effects and costs of limited access roads to citizens and their area. This route would be the worst possible example with foreign operate, maintained and driven vehicles. You will have to bite the bullet and instead of taking the lower cost routes through our already settled areas go more westerly.

Comment 75: I think 303 is the wrong location. 1. It (303) is going to run through housing

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

developments and should be as originally planned - a parkway. 2. Heavy truck traffic should not be routed through single family home developments. 3. It will be noisy and dirty high speed driving. 4. It will diminish the quality of life in the communities in bisects. 5. Encouraging manufacturing and industrial growth along the 303 area would not fit the area profile.

Comment 76: Remember November!

Comment 77: Keep 303 out of the study. It is too close to the residential area. You stated we were not selected and Sun Valley was the choice. Now you changed fro more study. Leave us out.

– Renu Cohuan

Comment 78: No to CANAMEX on 303.

– David A. Swanson

Comment 79: A resounding no to CANAMEX route on 303. Due to health and environmental issues related to running a major truck route through residential areas.

– Rosalic Buzard
Sun City Grand

Comment 80: Remove SR 303 from CANAMEX

– Harry B. Byard

Comment 81: 303 shown never be for truck route thru a residential development. Please do not designate it as such.

– J. Bognan
Sun City Grand

Comment 82: I was disappointed in the difference between the slides presented and the charts displayed on the side, the toll two different stories. I came to the meeting after reading the article in the Arizona Republic from what I saw and heard I cannot trust the members of MAG.

– Ken Stout

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

Surprise

Comment 83: 303 must be removed from any consideration for the CANAMEX corridor. I strongly suggest that you follow the DPS recommendation of CANAMEX corridor to be west of the White Tank Mountains.

– G.M. Charlton
Surprise

Comment 84: By the time this CANAMEX is completed in the vicinity of Phoenix even more much more people live around 303. Quality will go to hell. Pollution and more!! Considering 303 for an inter consistently through highway is unbelievable.

– J. O. Hardy
Sun City Grand

Comment 85: Many Sun City Grand home buyers were told the 303 was going to be a parkway to now tell them that your going to run the CANAMEX highway thru their backyards sounds almost illegal. At the very least its no way to build confidence in the system. Please do the right thing and put the trucks out west. Why ruin the 303 corridor when you really don't have to.

– Lee Sut
Sun City Grand

Comment 86: Everything that was said regarding getting truck traffic off 303 I am in strong favor of. Thank you.

– Chuck Buten
Surprise

Comment 87: The Valley Parkway is the obvious way the CANAMEX route should go if it has to go this direction. It is in more of a straight line towards 93 highway. It is open land that could be made into the highway needed. Road construction machinery could clear the necessary land required to complete the road. I hope that the developers out there can work with DPS to make the right decision.

– Raymond P. Schlogel

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

- Comment 88: I feel very strongly that 303 should be taken out of consideration for it will greatly harm a lot of elderly people.
- June Bognan
- Comment 89: Do not feel the 303 with so much residential development and so many intersections that already have so many accidents is not an appropriate route.
- Comment 90: Please remove 303 permanently from the list of proposed CANAMEX routes. AZ DPS recommends a route west of the White Tank Mountains. It would be wise to maintain our quality of life - the permanent voting residents of Arizona. Where will the truck inspection be located? Thank you.
- Rosemarie Hardy
- Comment 91: Come to my house and listen to the trucks go by. Had we known we would not have retired here.
- Comment 92: My husband and I moved to Sun City Grand two and one-half years ago. If we had known, 303 was being considered for a CANAMEX corridor we would never have moved here. Please do not do this to our lovely community.
- Donna Bologna
Surprise
- Comment 93: I believe the majority of the people presented at this meeting do not want to see 303 become part of the CANAMEX.
- Gerald Schreiber
Surprise
- Comment 94: Appreciated your presentation. Just listen to us and keep it away from populated areas. If and when built limit where the population can build. Do not consider 303.
- Comment 95: We lived in Illinois prior to moving here and Sun City Grand. We lived next to the Illinois Interstate. The noise and the pollution were unbearable. If this 303 goes through, it will destroy a healthy environment. Since there is so much new land just west of us it does not make sense to build a highway next to a populated area.

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

– Eugene and Karen Seidler

Comment 96: My husband and I along with 6998 other residents of Sun City Grand do not want 303 CANAMEX in our neighborhood. We moved here and retired in 11/99 to Sun City Grand! We did not know at that time anything about 303 and certainly not CANAMEX! Please we do not want this road in our neighborhood! We came here to enjoy life after working for 38 years not to listen to loud truck noise and bad air! Please remove 303 from consideration as a CANAMEX route. Thank you.

– Mary & James Kindy
Surprise

Comment 97: Please put CANAMEX out where there aren't many people. Don't put it on 303.

Comment 98: Drop 303 permanently. Let us live without more noise and pollution.

Comment 99: As a new resident I would be very upset if 303 came through S.C. Grand. Drop it!!

Comment 100: Please drop the 303 from consideration for the CANAMEX corridor. It will only bring pollution, air and ?? to the Sun City/Surprise area with no benefit to its residents.

Comment 101: Drop 303 from CANAMEX consideration.

Comment 102: I think making 303 a CANAMEX corridor is a big mistake. We are angry at the thought of you once again putting 303 back on the list. Why did you do that? Does Buckeye have more input or more influential people? There is something going on here that has a bad odor. The people here will fight you if we have to. At the ballot box or wherever we have to.

Comment 103: Please consider that this area (303) is probably the fastest growing area in the state and that 20 year projections for the city of Surprise are unrealistic. This area is already developed and should not be developed as the CANAMEX route. Place the road away from the existing rooftops and also bypass Wickenburg.

– Ron Mackey
Surprise

Comment 104: Routes which avoid the Loop 303 needs to be the chosen CANAMEX Route as it

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

take these trucks and all their pollution away from the heavily populated areas. Also, the route must be west of the White Tank Mountain so the polluted air doesn't get trapped in our valley.

Get a good truck route built so the excess of the trucks on 303 bothering us now can move to a more appropriate truck route west of the White Tanks.

– Joanne M. Goode
Sun City Grand

Comment 105: Do not ignore the DPS recommendation. Remove Loop 303 totally and finally from consideration for the CANAMEX corridor. Do not plan to drive international trucks through a retirement community bringing danger, pollution, and noise!

– Gerald J. Goode
Surprise

Comment 106: We were told a while back that 303 was out of consideration for the CANAMEX corridor. Now all of a sudden things have changed. What's going on?

Comment 107: We do not want the CANAMEX along route 303. We just bought our home in S.C. Grand and do not want the excess traffic, noise and pollution.

Comment 108: Remove 303 from CANAMEX corridor consideration. Remove truck traffic. Provide safety. Eliminate noise and air pollution. Avoid dividing residential homes. Maintain cost effectively by using valley parkway west of white tanks.

– Carolyn Converse
Sun City Grand

Comment 109: State route 303 should not even be considered for CANAMEX. Please remove 303 it from consideration.

– Bill Converse
Sun City Grand

Comment 110: Take 303 out of consider as part of the CANAMEX corridor.

– Irene McCallester

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

Surprise

- Comment 111: Absolutely no CANAMEX on 303. The only people who would want to keep the route off Sun Valley Parkway. Would be the developers who own the land and want to build residential there.
- Katherian Mayderma
Sun City Grand
- Comment 112: Eliminate 303 from any further consideration.
- Marjorie Archbold
Surprise
- Comment 113: Eliminate 303 from any future plans.
- Mary Rose Ortel
Surprise
- Comment 114: Remove 303 permanently from CANAMEX route.
- Wanita Zarumba
Sun City West
- Comment 115: How can you disregard Arizona Department of Public Safety recommendations to move CANAMEX west of the White Tanks?
- Comment 116: This route has no business going thru a density populated residential neighborhood. Permanently remove SR 303 from CANAMEX consideration.
- Nina Billinger
Surprise
- Comment 117: Regardless of future decisions, permanently remove SR 303 from CANAMEX consideration.
- G. W. Billinger
Surprise
- Comment 118: The people of Sun City Grand would like to see the CANAMEX roadway moved and deleted entirely from Loop 303. With all of the land available north of 303 I cannot

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

understand why Loop 303 is a must to use. The noise, air pollution and speed of the vehicles are only a couple of the detriments. Loop 303 was deserved to be included as a parkway for Sun City Grand. There should also be the possibility of using the Sun Parkway Road. Alternate 2 or 4 would be very appropriate for our use. Thank you for listening.

– Edward G. Hubbert
Surprise

Comment 119: Alternate route 2 makes the most sense. It skirts the populated area, and would not only create less of a health hazard for families in this area of our County, there would be less delays (more cost effective) dealing with diverting the local normal traffic during the project.

– Pat Hubbert

Comment 120: I think the use of 303 as part of the CANAMEX corridor is a mistake because of the explosive residential growth all along the highway. Safety is a large factor as well as noise and health. The CANAMEX corridor should be further west from the Phoenix and Surprise and neighborhoods west of Phoenix.

– Barb Lambert

Comment 121: Please remove SR 303 from the proposed CANAMEX corridor. Permanently We don't need more truck traffic-noises, air pollution in our area.

– Jesse Lambirk

Comment 122: Please remove 303 from consideration for CANAMEX. Sun City Grand has far more residents already than Buckeye.

Sun Valley Parkway already has much truck traffic. 303 best used as a low speed (45) parkway thru an existing community. West of White Tank Mountains is the best alternative or get Fed's to build a completely new highway not close to any communities.

– Norma & Stan Nelson
Sun City Grand

Comment 123: I am totally confused as to why Loop 303 is even considered for a heavy duty truck

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

route since the surroundings are so populated. The route that was previously recommend (the route around Buckeye) is not even populated. The developers in that area are against the CANAMEX but that seems like the tail wagging the dog because you are dropping a route based on future development rather than considering already populated areas. I am definitely against Loop 303 being considered as a CANAMEX route.

– Carl Hom
Surprise

Comment 124: No CANAMEX on 303.

Comment 125: Thank you for permanently removing Route 303 from consideration in the CANAMEX route. Please leave it a “parkway” not a highway. Please reroute present truck traffic as well and bypass Wickenburg!

– Anne Smith
Sun City Grand

Comment 126: We live 1 block off the 303 in Grand. We thought 303 was removed form consideration and now are extremely disappointed to learn it is not. Grand should not be a community divided. There communities should not be affected. Sun Valley is empty and should be used.

– Elizabeth Valentine

Comment 127: I strongly agree that designating 303 as a “CANAMEX” trade route would be wrong from an economic, environmental, safety and all other practical view. Please do not allow this to be considered as a possibility.

– Jack A. Graham & Dawna Pratt

Comment 128: Eliminate State Route 303 from further consideration! Please do not ignore the more than 4,000 senior citizens of Sun City Grand, the thousands of residents of Sun City West and City of Surprise.

– Eugene & Patricia Feulig

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

Sun City Grand

Comment 129: Do not even consider 303 for CANAMEX. It is dangerous for residents of the area - pollution, etc. There are too many accidents already. Noise and air pollution and safety are more than sufficient to eliminate 303.

– Lois Breehoer
Sun City Grand

Comment 130: It seems ill-advised-if not have brained-to have CANAMEX running through large new home developments from Grand Avenue onto Loop 303 and south. Loop 303 will be further developed below Bell Road in the near future. Right now, north from Bell Road to Grand Avenue on Loop 303 is going to be papered with new homes in the next few years. Does it make sense to route truck traffic in residential areas when an alternative is 10 miles to the west? Would you want to live near this truck route? Permanently remove Loop 303 from a proposed CANAMEX route. The Sun Valley Parkway or another road further west.

Due to the high density of population already existing along the 303 route I most strongly disagree with any plan to use Route 303 as a CANAMEX highway.

– John Finan
Surprise

Comment 131: No CANAMEX on 303. The already have housing on route 303 and our development already has enough noise and air pollution, traffic and accidents on this route.

This who thing seems to be a “done deal” by AZDOT and the state legislature. Can’t you people make up you mind?

– Ruth Finan
Sun City Grand

Comment 132: We have expressed our objections to use a Loop 303 for the CANAMEX highway in the past. Nothing has changed! Your group continues to waffle and go with the group heard loudest, last. Make your decision and get it over with-without Loop 303.

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

– Sandy & Don Rhodus
Surprise

Comment 133: Remove 303 from consideration as the corridor for CANAMEX. It is not an appropriate view to have a truck route go through communities as developed as that around the sun cities area. When other routes are available less populated.

Comment 134: Given the alternate choices, rather than SR 303, it would appear beneficial to all involved to select other routes. SR 303 passes directly thru Sun City Grand and having a major highway in this area would expose hundreds of residences to air and noise pollution as well as a significant danger to those who use SR 303 to go downtown to Phoenix. We in Sun City Grand will be seen off the road by 18 wheelers and likely poorly maintained Mexican vehicles. From Bell Road south everyone mile a significant east west road crosses SR 303 how they will be accommodated can only cause the traffic problem or danger or both.

– Carl Miller

Comment 135: I live at Sun City Grand close to 303 on Papago and Clearview it is very noisy and to me unthinkable to have a truck route on 303. Please no CANAMEX on 303. Thank you.

Comment 136: A very good informative meeting. But no major corridor should be even considered thru one of the fastest growing communities in the US. Safety and environmental issues should be primary. This is just plain, common sense. We and all residents of the community “do not” want 303 considered at all. Permanently remove 303 from the routes.

– Sandra L. Sly

Comment 137: The meeting was very informative. I firmly believe that Loop 303 should be eliminated from any further consideration of CANAMEX routing. When I moved, here I did not plan on having truck traffic and health issues in my backyard. Having CANAMEX move through an existing community like Sun City Grand and Sun City West makes no sense at all. CANAMEX should be planned west of the White Tank Mountains.

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

– Larry Sly
Surprise

Comment 138: We now hear traffic noise at our new Sun City Grand home from SR 303. If the CANAMEX highway should run on SR 303 we feel the noise and pollution would be unbearable.

– Jean Bernstein
Surprise

Comment 139: We do not want the CANAMEX highway to run through 303. There will be too much noise and pollution from the trucks going through a high population area. We hope you will permanently remove SR 303 from CANAMEX consideration.

– Eugene Bernstein
Surprise

Comment 140: Do not consider 303!

Comment 141: Eliminate SR 303 permanently from consideration of a CANAMEX route. I live in Sun City Grand.

Comment 142: If quality of life and safety are prime consideration for deciding on a route than 303 should not be considered. A more viable route would either be alternate 1 or 2.

– Forrest T. Neilson
Surprise

Comment 143: It makes no sense to route the CANAMEX through 303 where there is a large residential population, when there are alternate routes available that are not populated. The safety, health and quality of life of the residents along 303 are at stake. It is not appropriate to designate highway 303 as anything but a parkway.

– Christie Neilson
Surprise

Comment 144: We strongly oppose the SR 303 recommendation. No to trucks. No to traffic. Yes to safety without trucks. Pollution must be stopped from truck traffic SR 303 does not need to be a major truck route thru a major residential area. (Sun City Grand).

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

We live in the northwest corner of Sun City Grand. The noise is already excessive and unbearable.

– Sam & Judy Reterie

Comment 145: Safety and Environment two of your own criteria which would be greatly comprised by putting CANAMEX on Loop 303. We are already a community that is in place and we do not want to be disturbed by more pollution and more traffic safety issues. 303 is not the place for a CANAMEX route. Please remove it from further consideration.

– Elaine A. Klucgnd
Surprise

Comment 146: Please listen to the speakers and the people. Poor planning from the beginning has caused unnecessary problems and a waste of money. Please cancel 303. Go west young men! Where no residents live.

– Sue Kerr
Sun City Grand

Comment 147: I think it's time to take 303 off the considerations. Time to narrow the choices.

– Barbara Byrd
Sun City West

Comment 148: 303 should be a parkway as was promised and is appropriate for a residential area. CANAMEX will be a huge superhighway built for the purpose of carrying many, many heavy trucks and auto bypass traffic. The environmental impact will be horrendous. Bell Avenue going west of the White Tanks to Vulcan Mines is the obvious most efficient and cost-effective alternative. Less people, less homes, less development will be negatively impacted using Sun Valley and Vulture Mine land. No CANAMEX on 303! I vote! Decide now and eliminate 303 from consideration.

– Mary Fleming

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

Sun City Grand

Comment 149: 303 should be expanded to no more than a parkway-the CANAMEX will be a huge superhighway carrying a large volume of trucks. This will create an increased pollution problem in the valley and will be in appropriate in an area which will soon be a high density residential area. Obviously it should be west of the White Tanks where there will be less development and pollution can be controlled. Do not make 303 a CANAMEX route. I will vote!

– Nancy A. Resk
Surprise

Comment 150: It seems clear that the area east of the White Tanks will soon be all residential. Therefore, putting CANAMEX on Sun Valley Parkway and SR 303 would be extremely unrealistic and detrimental to all future residents.

– Chuck Ferrel
Surprise

Comment 151: To bisect a residential community with a CANAMEX route is sheer lunacy. We in Sun City Grand are a growing community with a projected population of 15,000-17,000 residents. Add to this the additional mixed age residential areas in this area and it becomes even more of an inappropriate and unworkable route. Remove 303 as a consideration for CANAMEX now!

– Lois Lea Ferrell
Surprise

Comment 152: Please vote no to 303. No 303.

– Carolyn Falco
Surprise

Comment 153: Vote no for 303.

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

- Guy Falco
Surprise

Comment 154: On January 13, 2000, I wrote 11 letters to officials connected with CANAMEX corridor. “Don’t make 303 a CANAMEX corridor! Use the alternate route...for safety and health reasons along. Sales made in communities along this road would definitely not have taken place. Nine months later we are told meetings our letters, calls and petitions mean nothing because as we sit at this meeting on August 31, 2000 we are back to square 1. This is a farce and an insult to the intelligence of concerned residences. Mary Peters spoke of priorities, maintaining, quality of life. Talking is one thing, acting of residents concerns is apparently another.

- Harriet Feenberg
Surprise

Comment 155: Please take the 303 off your plans for CANAMEX.

- Judy Miller

Comment 156: There is no reason that it has taken a great deal of money items to consider 303. It is a fraud and planners should be held accountable.

Comment 157: Please do not designate route 303 as CANAMEX corridor:

International truck traffic should not be routed through existing housing areas. This is incompatible with any quality of life assessment (safety, environment, noise, exhaust fumes, congestion and so forth).

- Linda & Norman Kimble
Surprise

Comment 158: Pushing the CANAMEX route through any substantially urban area is ridiculous. Loop 303 goes right through SC Grand is quite near SC West. Other alternatives make more sense. Sun Valley Parkway is a nice road with almost no houses near by. OK, some developers want to put up lots of high scale houses along this route. So what? It has the option of buildings in other areas. The road exists now—this is a lower cost alternative.

- Robert Hubbs

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

Sun City West

- Comment 159: It is insane to push CANAMEX through a residential neighborhood! Use sealand and let them go offshore!
- Chuck Gameros
Sun City West
- Comment 160: This is federally funded project. There should be no CANAMEX traffic running through residential neighborhoods. Not 303. Not Wickenburg. Not Sun Valley Parkway. Find the funds to rebuild Vulture Mine Road or in that proximity!
- Carole Hubles
- Comment 161: Based on the information provided at the public meeting, it is obvious that alternate routes west of White Tank Mountains would be better for the truck route than Route 303 which passes through high residential areas. I ask that Route 303 be eliminated from consideration for the CANAMEX route.
- Kirk Borkenhagan
Surprise
- Comment 162: As usual the public was not considered in your decision to have the CANAMEX going through Sun City Grand. I am sure all the brilliant minds at MAG and ADOT can come up with an alternative route.
- Comment 163: Simply put CANAMEX west of the White Tanks.
- Comment 164: Since there is already a nice four lane highway(Sun Valley Parkway). I don't see the need to devalue the existing homes by having the CANAMEX going thru existing communities. An extension of Sun Valley Parkway to SR 60 would be more efficient, since it goes thru undeveloped desert. Please don't be influenced by developers that have plans for the area along Sun Valley Parkway. Alt Route 1-2-3-4 is desirable.
- Christa Retford
Sun City West
- Comment 165: Our environment is critical to a healthy lifestyle. Our governments has done nothing

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

to eliminate pollution from trucks. I am against CANAMAX/303. There are areas further west in the desert that should be considered rather right here residentially.

Comment 166: Route 303 goes through a residential area and should not be subjected to additional truck traffic. The Sun Valley Parkway and Vulture Mine Road are much less developed and therefore more suitable. Route 303 also does not link up well with the preferred southern route of I-8 and SR 85. Strong consideration should also be given to the DPS recommendation to put the CANAMEX west of the White Tanks.

– Herbert & Susan Norton
Sun City Grand

Comment 167: My wife and I retired to Sun City Grand to fulfil our life long dreams. We are distressed to find consideration for the corridor to be in part on 303. We are greatly concerned that the noise and air pollution will be unacceptable. Also, of concern is the depreciation of our property values. Please do not consider 303!

– Herbert Joesbses
Sun City Grand

Comment 168: Consideration of route 303 as the proposed corridor should be eliminated. I have great concern for choice and air pollution and a healthy residential community.

– Joan Jacobson
Sun City Grand

Comment 169: A lot has changed since 1993, everything needs to be evaluated. Eliminate 303 from consideration. Why! Impact on residents and the value of homes. Air pollution, noise pollution, expanding residential and commercial areas. Don't spoil it.

– R. J. Martin
Surprise

Comment 170: As a person who can now see the 303 from their windows. There is no way that the CANAMEX should be there. The noise and pollution is already unhealthy. Please reconsider.

– S. Martin

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

Surprise

Comment 171: It is a poor idea to put so much traffic with all of the pollution in a dense residential area. The traffic patterns is 85 (going east to get to 303) don't make sense.

The high volume of truck noise should be moved to an open area. People then buying there would know what they were getting into.

Comment 172: We are opposed to using Loop 303 as the CANAMEX corridor.

– Catherine Hanneman
Surprise

Comment 173: Your group already made your decision to use Sun Valley Parkway off of I-10. 303 is the least attractive choice and hope this 303 comes permanently off the study list. We want to hear the result November 1, 2000.

Comment 174: I do not support route 303 as a designation for a CANAMEX corridor. I request that MAG and ADOT permanently remove SR 303 from CANAMEX consideration. I am disappointed that this route has again been added to the study regarding the CANAMEX corridor. You should listen to the residents along this route. Designate the corridor west of the White Tanks!

– Janis Anglia
Surprise

Comment 175: Eliminate SR 303 from CANAMEX consideration.

– Richard J. Shirley
Sun City Grand

Comment 176: 303 should be removed from consideration for the CANAMEX route as it goes through the middle of an existing community. This would seriously impact the health and safety of our residents. The Sun Valley Parkway alternative has development still in the planning stage and would have less impact on residents along the route.

– Sue Bates

Comment 177: After examining the evaluation criteria for the CANAMEX route in the vicinity of Phoenix, and the impacts of Route 303, it appears this is not the best selection. The

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

public health, social, environmental impacts of this 303 roadway being included would be devastating. The Sun Valley Parkway alternative or another west of the White Tanks would lessen the community impacts and still meet many of the criteria in a favorable way. I urge you to take the 303 off the table.

– Laren Bates
Surprise

Comment 178: We believe that the continuous movement of large semi trailers trucks will reduce the quality of life in our neighborhoods - Sun City Grand. The smell of truck brakes and pollutants from the engine will create health hazards over a period of time. An auxiliary route will be a reduction of property values and people move out and other fail to move in. ADOT and MAG are more concerned with the acquisition of the funds from the fed grant rather than in the best interest of the residents of Sun City Grand. There are alternate routes that do not impact on large numbers of people such as these in a retirement community of Sun City Grand. Please consider these alternatives.

– Harvey Speck

Comment 179: What part of CANAMEX doesn't belong on 303 didn't you understand? How many times do we have to go through this force? You don't really care what the people want!

– Bernard Feinberg

Comment 180: Estrella Parkway should be permanently removed from the list of proposed CANAMEX routes. This action would fulfill the CANAMEX Corridor Coalition's stated objective; n.e. "Shared commitment to the region's quality of life." Use of Estrella Parkway would destroy the quality of life for a significant number of current residents in the northwest valley.

– Eugene P. Meil
Surprise

Comment 181: We certainly do not need the exhaust and air pollution through the middle of Sun City Grand.

– Lyle E. Aunttey

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

- Comment 182: CANAMEX will serve no purpose to highly populated communities such as the Sun Cities area. Other routing must be obtained. We should have kept the railroads in service many many years ago!
- Rosella Huntley
- Comment 183: I do not want the CANAMEX highway on 303. Noise and pollution will effect property vales and impact the quality of life in Sun City Grand.
- Karen Ignatowski
- Comment 184: Remove Loop 303 from consideration of CANAMEX. It will not improve the safety, health, and efficiency for our area. It does not improve the “Quality of Life” for our neighborhood, and I don’t think it will stimulate economic growth for this area.
- Our neighborhood and life concerns does not need a major highway in our backyard. It has proven a major accident problem as a loop, and as a highway would only become worse. We moved here for the beauty of the area. Don’t make Loop 303 into something it is not meant to be.
- W. Liehenstein
Surprise
- Comment 185: SR 303 should be eliminated from any further consideration for inclusion in the CANAMEX corridor! This is a major residential area and under no circumstances should you consider bringing the noise, the pollution and the others associated problems through this relatively highly populated residential area that would result from bringing CANAMEX along the #303 route.
- Edgan A. Keny
Surprise
- Comment 186: We do not need any more pollution in our valley. The director mention the Hoover Dam Bridge to reduce the smell of truck brakes. How do you plan to get trucks off 303 and onto 60? And then back again without our smelling truck brakes?
- James Moshier
- Comment 187: 303 as it is now, will not service a major highway. It will cost as much to rebuild 303 as it would to build a new freeway with high density population, 303 would be a big

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

mistake.

– Dean M. Sarenu

Comment 188: Please remove SR 303 from CANAMEX consideration pollution a big issue.

– M. L. Sorenson
Sun City Grand

Comment 189: Don't want CANAMEX on 303. To much pollution will drift east and over development.

Comment 190: We do not want them to go through. I do not want CANAMEX on 303. No.

– Joyce Goreski
Surprise

Comment 191: We do not want the CANAMEX truck route to run down 303, which currently splits our Sun City Grand Community for noise, environment and safety reasons. We have to live currently with a lot of noise on 303 since trucks are now using this route. It will only get worse and affect our quality of life if the CANAMEX traffic adds to this route. We have no guarantee of truck safety, maintenance required on MX trucks, nor guarantee of what materials will be hauled thru our growing community. All of AZ will benefit a chosen route in rural area!

– Ron & Flo Vanderheyden

Comment 192: Eliminate 303. Noise, pollution, health, safety.

– Lavern Gentry

Comment 193: No-No-No to 303 Loop.

– Bill Gentry

Comment 194: Do not consider Loop 303 for CANAMEX!

– Allan Stave
Surprise

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

- Comment 195: 1. Heavy truck traffic thru the middle of a major retirement home development project in construction is not a reasonable options from health and accidents.
2. Also, options 5 and 8 involving 303 are both the heaviest traffic projections and the heaviest current accident rates involving tractor trucks.
- Jim Althoff
- Comment 196: We at Sun City Grand want SR 303 to be only a parkway and for safety and health reasons, I ask that you move CANAMEX to the west of SR 303 through the Buckeye area. Thank you. Please consider Vulture Mine Road!
- Theresa Ward
 Surprise
- Comment 197: No CANAMEX using this Estrella Parkway - SR 303 - move it west and remove SR 303 from the list permanently.
- Bill Ward
 Surprise
- Comment 198: No CANAMEX on 303.
- Carol Stevens
 Sun City Grand
- Comment 199: This would be one great injustice to these people any where near 303. Olderly people have bought homes in good faith, only to have this thrown at the Senior population. Many with health problems only to have more pollution from noise and trucks and cars. Why were people not told this when the builder was grabbing in elderly people buying homes for retirement? Please explain this is a big state, plenty of other routes. Hope to God I hope you people wake up in time and realize the consequences. Have been to many meetings. Its time. Let the people know. Thank you.
- Mary Balachowske
 Sun City Grand
- Comment 200: No Loop 303 CANAMEX corridor. Use SR 85 to the west. Remove 303 from consideration. No 101! Either. No Grand. No US 60.

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

- Bud & Jan Larsen
Surprise

Comment 201: We are very concerned about the possibility of CANAMEX going thru the residential area of Surprise. For health and safety (also noise) reasons we ask that route 303 be permanently removed from the proposed CANAMEX routes. Fewer trucks, not more please.

- Larry & Doris Thare

Comment 202: Please permanently remove SR 303 from CANAMEX SR 303 is a residential community road. Already in external to health and safety issues. No one puts a truck route in the middle of a community. Next time have handouts on data!

- Lucille E. Teckrone

Comment 203:

1. Our comments have been made numerous times as Bear Shimmin & Han Brewer stated in the public section comment portion of this meeting.
2. I gathered signatures on petitions against the 303 designation as part of the CANAMEX and spoke to numerous Surprise residents in the past nine months who all were/are against this designation.
3. For safety, environmental, health factors, etc. to the young children through the elderly who now live here 303 must not be a part of the CANAMEX corridor. These residents chose to live here now are not potential residents of land west of the White Tank Mountains.

- Connie Mutton
Surprise

Comment 204: Do not want the CANAMEX on 303 as it will effect the quality of air to breathe.

Comment 205: It doesn't make sense to place CANAMEX on 303 for safety/health reasons. Please use your authority to permanently remove 303 from the proposed CANAMEX routes.

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

- Barb Martin
Sun City Grand

Comment 206: I want MAG/DOT to permanently remove 303 from the list of proposed CANAMEX routes. Believe you owe it to us for health and safety reasons. 303 in my opinion is not the best route for CANAMEX.

- Larry Martin
Sun City Grand

Comment 207: We are new residents of Sun City Grand for “health” reasons. To be able to enjoy outdoor activities is very important to us! We are opposed to 303 for CANAMEX! There must be a different route for this highway to be that would not cause pollution and unsafe health for any residential area.

- Mr & Mrs Bill Townslay

Comment 208: I moved here for my health, I don’t need more diesel fumes. Why send this heavy traffic through a heavily populated neighborhood?

- W. R. Townslay

Comment 209: Want Loop 303 removed form the list of proposed CANAMEX routes. Such designation would be adversely affected our health due to environmental hazards and also present safety problems.

- Jack Maryott
Surprise

Comment 210: We want Route 303 removed from the list of proposed CANAMEX routes. Such designation would lead to environmental hazards detrimental to our community. Also, safety would be adversely affected.

- Elain Maryott
Surprise

Comment 211: Do not want CANAMEX on 303.

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

- David G. Stevens
Surprise

Comment 212: I think that Del Webb should have been completely honest and advised us as buyers of a lot backing on #303, that there was a possibility of a major highway being constructed behind our home.

When I sold my home in Mesa, we were required to advise prospective buyers that highway #101 would be only a quarter of a mile from the property. Our purpose in purchasing this home was to move away from highway construction-not to move even closer.

- Duncan A. McNieol
Sun City Grand

Comment 213: Do you want CANAMEX in my backyard (my house backs on 303) from a health standpoint and noise? At present it is already too noisy and dusty. I have asthma. Had I'd known of CANAMEX when I bought my house 7 months ago I certainly would have not purchased at Sun City Grand.

Please use Sun Valley Highway to Vulture Road. Should #303 be chosen "God forbid" I will move even if at a loss.

- Jean A. McNicol

Comment 214: Please explain why we need another highway from CANAMEX to Mexico. We already have interstate highway that do this. If you have to build it please not 303.

- C. A. Schuest

Comment 215: Permanently remove 303 from the list of proposed CANAMEX routes. We already have interstates from Canada to Mexico all across the Country.

- Ruth

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

- Comment 216: The biggest mistake on CANAMEX would be considering or implementing 303. 303 as part of CANAMEX is wrong! It violates the residents' rights in the West Valley - These are families with children, older people and anyone wanting to live in a peaceful, safe area. We do not need truck traffic now pollution and dirty air. We are angry. We will fight to preserve our area.
- Sophia. D. Weber
Sun City Grand
- Comment 217: Homework should be done as planning is needed! Please remove 303 from the CANAMEX route consideration.
- Ron Marsh
Surprise
- Comment 218: Please permanently remove 303 form the proposed list for the CANAMEX route. Why not go through California or Texas? We don't need truck traffic on 303!
- Roberta Marsh
Surprise
- Comment 219: Running CANAMEX along route 303 will increase the highway length, lengthen the time for traveling and have an adverse effect on the health and safety of present and future populations situated along route 303 and highway 60. Highway 303 should be permanently removed from consideration as a part of CANAMEX highway. This is a residential area not a commercial one. A super highway also can increase the amount of residential crime (burglary). We were victims of this in eastern U.S.
- William H. K. Schwoyer
Surprise
- Comment 220: You state that the CANAMEX corridor is to provide commercial infrastructure. 303 will be border by the Sun City Grand on both sides. Below this area there is agriculture. Development has taken much of land needed for agriculture. We cannot jeopardize all the residents health from truck pollution, and we need agriculture. There is no place for commercial infrastructure on 303. CANAMEX must be removed from any 303 consideration, permanently.

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

Any environmental studies would certainly, if done honestly show the 303 segment to be out of consideration. Arizona was for retirement of folks who often have health problems and who cannot exist with pollution from more trucks and automobiles.

- Marian Schwager
Surprise

Comment 221: Route 303 is not a viable route for the CANAMEX corridor.

- Mr & Mrs. Nicholas I. Roberts.

Comment 222: A trade route has no business going thorough a retirement community. The Sun Parkway is a road to nowhere use it. No CANAMEX on the #303.

- Kathy Lindberg
Surprise

Comment 223: I would like to voice my opposition to including the current route 303 in the CANAMEX highway project.

- James & Carol Hammond
Sun City Grand

Comment 224: Designation of 303 as part of CANAMEX will adversely impact all of the residents of the Sun Cities and Surprise. The additional traffic will impact our air quality. Add to noise as it bisects Sun City Grand. This route already has a significantly high amount of traffic accidents involving trucks. Adding more trucks is courting disaster. Sun Valley Parkway is already four lanes and has minimal traffic. We drove it several times and encountered an average of four cars per trips.

- Janice M. Goredy
Surprise

Comment 225: There is a large area west of the White Tanks that is available that at present is vacant land, whether Sun Valley Parkway or further west. Why would you ever consider an area which goes through the City of Surprise and the residential area therein. Please remove 303 from any consideration as part of CANAMEX corridor.

- Leroy & Maxine Mountjoy

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

- Comment 226: We strongly object to having route 303 as part of the CANAMEX parkway. To do so flies in the face of common sense. The logical route is west of the White Tank Mountain. Why place it in the middle of residential properties?
- Ernest Barlow
Sun City West
- Comment 227: Please remove 303 from the list of proposed CANAMEX routes because: 303 runs through a major residential area where this increased traffic would negatively affect the air quality for a large number of people in an area with already poor air quality. DPS has recommended CANAMEX should be located west of the White Tank Mountains. With the tremendous population growth in the Phoenix area 303 is needed as a public roadway, not an international trade route. Thank you for coming to hear our comments.
- Chris Schnier
- Comment 228: SR 303 is already a death trap because of large truck traffic. They drive at alarming speeds. They spew bad fumes at the expense of our health. The noise is terrible. SR 303 must be removed from the CANAMEX consideration.
- Lee Ann Henderson
Sun City Grand
- Comment 229: SR 303 is already a death route. For health and safety of the residents in the area, I would like to see the permanent removal of SR 303 from the CANAMEX consideration.
- Karen Robertson
- Comment 230: I do not want 303 used as a CANAMEX route.
- Joseph P. Graham
- Comment 231: No CANAMEX highway through Sun City route 303.
- Comment 232: No CANAMEX on the 303. Too much pollution and noise. It is a quiet residential neighborhood.
- Comment 233: There appears to be several choices which actually would be better than route 303

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

loop. I propose that 303 be permanently removed from the list of CANAMEX routes. The western side of the White Tank Mountains would probably be the best idea.

– Linda Slone

Comment 234: We are totally opposed to route 303 as being part of CANAMEX highway. Health, pollution - etc. Please remove 303 from consideration west of White Tanks would be perfect.

– Cynthia & Jim Lafure
Sun City Grand

Comment 235: Route 303 is not an appropriate corridor for CANAMEX. Concerns are safety, noise pollution, air pollution, and congestion in a populated area. Elderly residents cannot easily relocate, and are protected by law from such intrusions as a CANAMEX would be for the Sun City Grand population. Please remove 303 from consideration.

– Janita Anderson
Surprise

Comment 236: I would like to have 303 eliminated as a possible route for CANAMEX. It would be very detrimental to the many residents along 303.

– E. C. Anderson
Surprise

Comment 237: The people have spoken 303 should not be considered any longer for the route of any CANAMEX highway. MAG and ADOT must listen to us. Government agencies work for us-we don't work for them. No 303! Thank you.

– Grant Hall
Surprise

Comment 238: Sun City Grand would have a major traffic corridor with resulting noise and pollution and divide a planned ruined community. Noise from 303 can already be heard in Sun

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

City Grand. Prevailing winds would and do already pollute all new homes east of 303.

– Barbara Schlie
Surprise

Comment 239: I oppose designating the 303 as the CANAMEX corridor highway. We live right next to the highway and traffic is already very noisy to be able to even sit out on your patio.

– Jan Chrisman

Comment 240: I oppose designating the 303 as the CANAMEX corridor highway.

– Roger A. Carisman

Comment 241: Why was 303 route put back on the list for CANAMEX? We wrote letters and were promised it would be eliminated! We don't want it because: it will cut our residential community in half; cause pollution excesses for our community seniors; supply unacceptable levels of noise. Eliminate this route from consideration; this is a must!

– Margaret & Edward Dempsey
Surprise

Comment 242: It doesn't make sense to put a super highway on 303 thru Sun City Grand which did not make provision for such noise, pollution, heavy traffic in highway walls or forewarning of retirees facing these problems sinking all their savings in these properties.

– Bob & Doris Falk

Comment 243: There needs to be re-prioritization of funds on the development of US 93. The bottleneck around/thru Wickenburg should be a high priority. The widening of US 93 north of Wickenburg should be a reduced priority. The traffic counts are reduced as you go north. The safety issue is of critical improvement from Phoenix to Wickenburg. As the road heads north, traffic is able to find its own niche. The ultimate bypass around Wickenburg is a wild cog in the CANAMEX corridor in the vicinity of Phoenix.

– Richard Wertz

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West**

- Comment 244: The CANAMEX (highway of the future with all its hi tech infrastructure as presented) should not be build in or through an existing developed area (i.e., Loop 303) but instead in open area with minimal impact on existing development (not future level) But all new development supporting this roadway then be developing and with no impact in existing developer areas.
- Donald L. Pardubsky
Surprise
- Comment 245: I think the route that presents the least impact on people should be chosen. Air quality, noise, traffic and safety considerations are paramount. SR 303 should be eliminated from consideration.
- Robert Coryell
- Comment 246: We don't need the pollution, noise and congestion in our neighborhood. I can't think of anything positive by having trucks on the 303.
- Geraldine Sanner
- Comment 247: Trucks and noisy and dirty. We don't need them in our neighborhood. We are pretty much pollution free - let's keep it that way. Property values at Sun City Grand will suffer.
- C. W. Sanner
- Comment 248: I am very much against CANAMEX to come through Surprise and Sun City West.
- Comment 249: I would like the CANAMEX highway not to use 303 but to be routed along the westside of the White Tanks.
- Comment 250: With so many other routes tell us why you would put a CANAMEX thru our community.
- Comment 251: No 303!
- Michell Bunut
- Comment 252: For our health and safety please consider permanently removing SR 303 from

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
August 31, 2000
Sun City West

CANAMEX consideration. Thank you.

- Comment 253: We thought you heard us last year when you said for our safety you would eliminate 303 from the CANAMEX please do it again.
- Comment 254: No trucks on 303. No CANAMEX.
- Comment 255: Why would you bring more congestion plus pollution to an area inside the White Tank border that is struggling already?
- Marsha Coking
- Comment 256: No 303! There is enough open land with no homes west of the mountains to the west that would not effect our air quality.
- Harriet Wigdorl
- Comment 257: No trucks on 303!
- Comment 258: We didn't move to Sun City Grant to have a CANAMEX running thru our backyard. Please, Please use another route that is more feasible. We worked hard all our life to be able to retire in a nice quite community.
- Comment 259: We are in a growing residential area-put this out where there is nothing yet! No to 303.
- Dennis Cokey
- Comment 260: The City of Surprise is struggling with its growth. A truck route is insane 303 is not a good choice.
- Ted Wigdad
- Comment 261: My husband and myself invite any or all of you to come and spend a night or weekend to listen to traffic already on 303. It will be impossible to live here if 303 is a CANAMEX.
- Bev & Chuck Bulter

Comment 262: Please, please, please consider eliminating 303 from this location. This state is so large why must trucks be allowed to travel so close to populated areas.

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
September 5, 2000
Buckeye**

- Comment 1: We support the Town of Buckeye and want the Sun Valley taken off as a suggested route. We own 8,500 acres in the Sun Valley area.
- Glen Spurlock
- Comment 2: Opposed to use of Sun Valley Parkway. Support Wickenburg/Vulture Mine Road. You have heard all the reasons.
- Ron Long
Buckeye
- Comment 3: Keep 303 loop in it. If not what going to happen to 303?
- Charles W. Hydenn
Goodyear
- Comment 4: Remove 303 permanently from further CANAMEX consideration for the health and well being of residents living next to SR 303 now. We don't want trucks running through our community. People live along 303 now and breathe that air. We do not want to breathe the diesel emission from the trucks that will use CANAMEX.
- Leeta Mackey
Surprise
- Comment 5: Don't consider CANAMEX on Loop 303.
- Comment 6: Absurd! Facts speak. No CANAMEX - Loop 303.
- Comment 7: No CANAMEX - on Loop 303.
- Comment 8: Consider the residents already here. Not the land speculators. No to CANAMEX on 303.
- Comment 9: Quit playing politics! Vote - Now CANAMEX on Loop 303 Now!
- Comment 10: Follow staff recommendations. No CANAMEX designation for Loop 303!
- Comment 11: MAG, it is ridiculous to consider this type of vehicle traffic on east side of White Tank Mountains. No CANAMEX on Loop 303.

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
September 5, 2000
Buckeye**

Comment 12: No CANAMEX on Loop 303.

Comment 13: Thank you for having these community meetings so we can all communicate better. There are many different interests at stake. Its not only about the “cheapest” route. Its about lifestyle, town or city planning, practicality, and much much more. The designation of a route constitutes a “taking without compensation.” It places a cloud on the property rendering it virtually useless. Either condemn and pay for it or don’t designate it.

– Dick Frye

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
September 7, 2000
Wickenburg**

- Comment 1: Air pollution and noise pollution were not supposed to be part of the 303. There is a perfectly good alternative route for CANAMEX down the Sun Valley Parkway which is not yet developed. This route would take all the noise and air pollution away from already established neighborhoods. We thought ADOT and MCDOT had already agreed to this Sun Valley Parkway route and do not understand the possible rerouting.
- Comment 2: Meeting too early in the day for real public input. Start bypass now with CANAMEX NAFTA and get NAFTA CANAMEX start Eagle Eye Road take you to both Highway 60 and 93. Don't build interim a waste of money because it only helps the downtown 93 traffic not the 60. The County, State, and Fed should work on this to save money. We the people. If you wait the cost is going up. Stop using Grand Avenue. It's very hard to get out on the road now it can only get worse. Take NAFTA around Wickenburg. Spend money on bypass/NAFTA highway COKB.
- Leslie Mccardle
Wickenburg
- Comment 3: Remove SR 303 from CANAMEX
- Harry Buzard
Sun City Grand
- Comment 4: Probable the least amount of public opposition. I think that Eagle Eye Road from I-10 up through Aguila makes the most sense and disrupts the least amount of people. From Aguila hook into Highway 71 north to US 93 north. Please add this to your list of routes.
- Bruce McCracken
Wickenburg
- Comment 5: Unfortunate that Sverdrup Civil Inc/Wickenburg was not made a stakeholder. There active expensive studies should have been coordinated. Include far west Sverdrup recommendation as a corridor selection for CANAMEX (Northern Position)
- Rome Glover
Wickenburg
- Comment 6: I think the most logical CANAMEX route is the I-85 to the I-10 to south Vulture Mine

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
September 7, 2000
Wickenburg**

Road to the point where it intersects with the far west bypass then to the 93.

- Janet Girard, Councilman
Wickenburg

Comment 7: Do not build the interim. Use that money toward south west bypass.

- Joe Griffin
Morristown

Comment 8: It would seem that CANAMEX thru Buckeye over to MP 103, then north to the vicinity of Vulture Peak, thru NW to westside of airport and then on to 93 would solve most problems.

- Guy Williams
Wickenburg

Comment 9: The Vulture Mine Road is our preferred route. Comments by Town of Wickenburg officials is not a consensus of all citizens. The Town of Wickenburg is simply pushing their problems off onto the residents of Morristown.

- Jay Zimmerman
Morristown

Comment 10: No CANAMEX. Remove Loop 303 from consideration.

- Larry Weiss
Surprise

Comment 11: I strongly favor Alt #2. If done in a timely manner, this would eliminate both the Wickenburg far southwest and Wickenburg interim bypasses. It would be 12 miles further, but an hour quicker for travelers and truckers.

- Larry Roberts, Mayor
Wickenburg

Comment 12: Route 303 options are not in compliance with your stated criteria. On the last 4 criteria you do not have satisfactory answers that would qualify 303. Your own DPS

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
September 7, 2000
Wickenburg**

has said the route must go west of the White Tanks.

– Jess Masa
Surprise

Comment 13: I am in favor of #1 and 3. Vulture Mine Road (#2) is not good. We have a major intersection on that route at Highway 60, and much residential along there. Thank you.

– Bill Green
Wickenburg

Comment 14: Eagle Eye Road to Highway 60 to Highway 71 to Highway 93 should be designated as the CANAMEX Corridor. It would eliminate the dangerous truck traffic thru Wickenburg and the Sun City West areas. Why was this route eliminated?

– Betty Ele
Wickenburg

Comment 15: The CANAMEX should go the most direct (shortest and quickest) trip. Route 2 keeps the traffic off the close in Interstates then should connect to the Wickenburg bypass and finally connect to #93. Get double effect for your dollars by patterns 2 roads on the same route (bypass and CANAMEX). Don't dump the traffic into Wickenburg!

– Dee Ludhe
Wickenburg

Comment 16: SR 303 must be eliminated as a consideration. Wickenburg bypass route needs to be resolved and incorporated into CANAMEX plan now!

– W. Zarumba
Sun City West

Comment 17: Wickenburg needs a bypass. The far out bypass would work well with a number of your CANAMEX routes it should be implemented now! Vulture Mine Road has 2 schools on it. Safety is a great concern. Has the evaluation criteria been applied to the 3 routes on a 0-10 score for each of criteria. Come up with a total score giving a leader. Vulture Mine Road through the bypass discussions, was relevant due to a number of reasons to have a exit. No bypass for town in the Hassayampa River!

**Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
September 7, 2000
Wickenburg**

- Michael Chilingarian

Comment 18: To bring CANAMEX here it will be absolutely necessary to build the far west bypass south and West of Wickenburg to handle this traffic. Nothing else will do.

- David McNabb
Wickenburg

Comment 19: Any route-CANAMEX or interims should not come anywhere within Wickenburg town or nearby areas. It would be disastrous. Some town “business owners” are speaking from greed-not what is best for Wickenburg.

- Alice McNabb
Wickenburg

Comment 20: ADOT and CANAMEX should coordinate plans without further delay. I support BOOT’s proposal. Alt #1. I am against ADOT’s proposal to build a temporary Wickenburg bypass. Alt #1 and the permanent Wickenburg bypass should be started now.

- Walt R. Lanz
Wickenburg

Comment 21: Exhibit-Route Alternative 2. Contact Farwest bypass. No interim. Combine both highways wherever possible. At Scotts Junction.

- Jeanne Tirbak
Wickenburg

Comment 22: Join CANAMEX to the Wickenburg Bypass (far west). The ADOT/MAG joint staff study on the CANAMEX Corridor identified a preferred route through Buckeye to the US 60/Wickenburg Bypass (future) interchange near Morristown. Pressure from land speculators in Buckeye caused ADOT/MAG to back off this recommendation.

Bypass Out of Town (B.O.O.T.) supports this staff recommendation provided it connects the south end of the Wickenburg Bypass to CANAMEX. This connection provides the greatest likelihood for future funding and construction of the entire Far West Bypass.

Other CANAMEX options being considered by ADOT/MAG include use of Vulture

Written Public Comments
CANAMEX Public Meeting
September 7, 2000
Wickenburg

Mine Road from Wickenburg Road and I-10, to connect to the northern loop only of the future Far West Bypass. This could leave the southern loop unbuilt for many years, allowing north and west Phoenix metro area truck traffic to continue to choose to use the current, shorter US 60 and SR 74 routes to US 93 through downtown Wickenburg. Only through trucks and those in Phoenix with easy access to I-10 would opt for the longer Wickenburg Road/Vulture Mine Road route to US 93.

A third option being considered for the CANAMEX Corridor would use I-10 and Eagle Eye Road through Aguila, missing Wickenburg entirely and providing no impetus for eventual construction of the Wickenburg Bypass. Being even longer than the Vulture Mine Road option, this route would be unattractive to trucks now using I-17 and those having origins/destinations in the north Phoenix metro area.

B.O.O.T. supports adoption of the ADOT/MAG staff preferred CANAMEX route through Buckeye and Morristown because that would encourage fast-track construction of the entire Far West Bypass.

B.O.O.T. believes ADOT and MAG should disregard objections to this preferred shorter and less expensive options that have been raised by land speculators in the path of the proposed Sun Valley Parkway extension in Buckeye. This extension is needed to complete the CANAMEX corridor from Buckeye to Morristown.